A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	PISTON-TYPE MECHANICAL CHEST COMPRESSION
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my

Item	em						Yes	Par	tly	No	
Preliminary						•		•	,		
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							√				
2. Authors identified?							V				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							V				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							√				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?										√	
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							√				
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							V				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							V				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							√				
How?											
	urces of	information	on and literature s	search	strategies p	rovide	ed?				
Search strategy √	Databases √		Year range √	L	Language restriction √		Primary data √		Other kind of information resources		
										\checkmark	
Complete reference list		List of				Exclusion					
of included studies		excluded studies			criteria			criteria			
11. Information of	n basis f	or the as	sessment and inte	erpreta	ation of selec	cted da	ata and	inform	ation?	>	
11. Information on basis for the assessment and interpretation of selected Method of data Critical appraisal Method of data						Results of the					
extraction described?		method (for quality		synthesis described?		bed?	assessment clearly				
1		assessment of the literature) described?		a)			presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?				
•		morata.	√	,			√ Videries tables:				
Context?											
	n contex	t (may or	may not apply to ea	ach HT.	A).						
(Medico-) legal Economic Ethical Social					ı	Other perspectives					
implications		nalysis		implications		implications considered?		(stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?			
considered?	pr	provided? considered? con		consider	ear	consumers) c) con: -	sidered?		
What then?							Yes	Par	tly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							√	1			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							√				
15. Suggestions for further action?							√				